AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF ICTS IN THE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE UNION TERRITORY OF PUDUCHERRY, INDIA

A. Sankaran^{1*} and P.Rajkumar²

¹ Pondicherry Central University, Pondicherry, India. ² Madurai Kamaraj University, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding Author: sankaranecopu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

It is globally accepted that the agricultural sector is the backbone of every economy. This sector plays a significant role over the course of economic development by contributing a considerable share in the gross domestic product and employment generation. Further, this sector is considered as a herculean weapon to eradicate extreme poverty, food insecurity and poor standard of living particularly in the underdeveloped and developing nations. There are some internal and external forces which have both positive and negative effects on this sector, which includes government policies, environmental condition, population pressure on land, cropping pattern, globalization, international trade and information, communication and technology. Against the above theoretical background, the present study tries to assess the role of ICTs in the development of agriculture in the Union territory of Puducherry, India. In order to execute this study, both primary and secondary data have been used. Secondary data have been collected from the published reports and materials while primary data have been collected from 90 sample respondents from three villages using simple random sampling method. The dataset include socio-economic profiles of the sample respondents and role of television, radio, cell phone, news papers and internet in offering information for the development of agriculture. The study uses simple percentage and Garrett Ranking method to analyze the data. The result of this study shows that television is in the towering position, followed by radio, news papers, cell phone, and internet in generating awareness on suitable input applications like pesticide and fertilizer use, soil quality test, credit opportunities, agricultural prices and cultivation methods. Hence, the study suggests that agro-based programmes should be more effectively relayed through local and national level channels in vernacular language to enhance the agricultural production and productivity.

Keywords: Agricultural development, ICTs in agriculture, Indian agriculture, primary sector and impact of ICTs.

INTRODUCTION

In any economy, agriculture is the mainstay over the course of economic development at least at the first phase. The Nobel laureate *Theodore Schultz* in his acceptance speech of 1979 Nobel Prize insisted to focus on poor for having a better understanding on economics because, the world's majority is poor. And also emphasized to focus on agriculture to understand the poor since, agriculture is the main source of income for most of the poor (Shultz, 1979). Agricultural sector has the caliber to play a catalytic role for socio-economic development in general and low income countries in particular. In a classic work published in the world famous journal-*American economic review*, Johnston and Mellor (1961) portrayed the significant role played of agricultural sector in the economic development of a nation. According to their view, "in underdeveloped countries, agriculture is an existing industry of major proportions, frequently only existing industry of any consequence". Further, they highlighted that the role of agricultural sector for economic growth in five propositions- Economic development is characterized by a substantial increase in the demand for agricultural products, and failure to expand food supplies. This food subsistence aspect was accepted by Schultz (1964) in pace with the growth of demand can seriously impede economic growth, earns foreign exchange by export, supply labor force to other sectors, contribute a sizable dose of capital required for secondary sector and increased demand for industrial output.

The development experience of the developed nations such as England, France, Belgium, Germany and Sweden attained spectacular growth within a short span; they attained a substantial industrial development only with the support of agricultural development (Nicholls, 1970). Further, Kuznets (1966) presented his empirical findings that the agriculture sector supplies low cost food and labor and growth of productivity in agricultural sector offers capital to other modern sector, which are essential for economic growth. The importance of such linkages was further stressed by Singer (1979) articulated that as a result of "agricultural demand-led industrialization" overall economic development is taking place. In fact due to production and consumption linkages a nation's development approach should be agriculture-driven rather than export-driven. Matsuyama (1992) opined the connection between the overall economic and agricultural growth was determined by the level of openness to international trade. Further, he suggests that because of its linkage effect, in small and closed nations agricultural growth goes hand in hand with economic growth. In the recent assessment World Bank (2008), stressed that the agricultural sector is extending its support with other sectors to attain faster economic growth, reduce considerable level of poverty, and sustain the existing environment.

The available empirical literatures have been describing the significant role played by the agricultural sector in poverty alleviation. Among the available studies from the last decade, Mellor (2001) mentioned that the direct and indirect contribution of agricultural growth significantly reduced the poverty level in developing countries; this is not because of overall economic growth. According to Irz et al. (2001) agricultural growth is one of the effective instruments to reduce poverty. The direct effect of growth of agricultural sector for poverty reduction through farm employment and profitability, and indirectly from employment generation in upstream and downstream of non-farm sectors. In a research work pursued by DFID (2004) emphasized that over the past four decades, there was a close correlation between the growth of agricultural productivity and the reduction of poverty, in the sense that productivity growth in the agricultural sector downsized the poverty level. Christiaensen and Demery (2007) highlighted that the growth of agricultural sector had significantly affect the poverty level than that of other sectors. Further, from their statistical evidence they expressed that there was 1.6 times reduction in poverty from 1 per cent per capita growth in agricultural sector. Bresciani and Valdes (2007) from their six country case study found that there are three channels to reduce poverty from agricultural sector they includes (a) labour market, (b) farm income, and (c) food prices. Their intensive analysis concluded that the development of agricultural sector was consistently reduced the poverty level than that of other leading sectors.

In a World Bank's study conducted by Ligon and Sadoulet (2008) used regression co-efficient on time series and cross-section data to find out the connection between agricultural sector and poor household income. They concluded that the growth of agricultural sector was pro-poor, which substantially more important for poor households. In a recent appraisal on agriculture for development, World Bank (2008) concluded that the agricultural development not only enhances the economic growth, but also reduces poverty, and protect the existing environment. Likewise, Montalvo and Ravallion (2009) in their comprehensive research work found that in China the spectacular success against poverty was achieved by the driving force of agriculture, not because of other sectors. Further, because of the trade-off situation existing between manufacturing and service sector their impact of poverty alleviation is limited. The attempt of Loayza and Raddatz (2010) was differing from other studies that they tried to assess the impact of agricultural sector on poverty, based on the amount of labor used. From their cross- section data of developing countries reached a conclusion that the growth of agriculture as labor intensive sector has greater impact on poverty level than that of capital intensive sectors. In another study pursued in the same year by Christiaensen et al. (2010) found from their cross-country regression model that the effect of agricultural growth in poverty reduction was superior than that of other sectors over the study period. Pack (2009), in his comprehensive research conducted in East Asian countries concludes that the increase in rural employment and income due to expansion of agricultural productivity reduced the level of poverty in these nations. This result was also corroborated by Thirtle et al. (2001) and Ravallion and Chen (2007). Among the available Indian studies, Ahluwalia (1978) found that there is a significant adverse relationship between agricultural growth and poverty resulting that the incidence of poverty reduced in India. Datt and Ravallion (1996, 1998) concluded that both absolute and relative poverty had been reduced as a result of higher level of farm productivity during different study periods. Roy and Pal (2002) concluded that thanks to the enhancement of agricultural productivity, there was a significant reduction in the poverty level in India. Virmani (2007) uttered that in addition to the nominal contribution to the overall growth of GDP, agricultural sector contributed considerably for the reduction of poverty in India.

A miracle in the recent achievement of this world is the development of information and communication technology. The term ICT is rightly defined by UNDP as "a varied set of goods, applications and services used to produce, store, process, distribute and exchange information. They include the most familiar technologies of television, radio and telephone (now called older or traditional ICTs) and the relatively newer ones-personal computers, mobile phones, satellite and wireless technologies and the internet". Undoubtedly, the information and communication technology is ruling the entire world and one of the significant driving forces to attain the socio-economic development in the 21st century. The importance of ICTs in the development was exposed by the United Nations Secretary General, *Kofi Annan*, in his address in the United Nations Commission on Science and Technology for Development (UNCSTD) in 1997. Certainly, revolutionary changes occurred in information and communication technology field. The quantum and access with regard to information and knowledge are mounting. The developing countries, for advancement in sustainable development particularly, in the fields like agriculture, economics, health, human resource and environmental management, should make use of the information and technology (Annan, 1997).

Information and communication technology provides agricultural information, which is a vehicle for the growth of this sector. Government agencies, scientists, researchers, teachers, practitioners, area specialists in agriculture, planners and policy makers, are producing a sizable amount of knowledge about agriculture information. These information are widely distributed through means of radio, television, newspapers, magazines and reports, very recently through internet and cell phone (Batte et al., 1990; Nazari and Hasbullah, 2008; Emmanuel, 2010, Olaniyi, 2013; Chhachar et al., 2014). The diffusion of knowledge in agricultural sector is a vital important, because through which cultivator get acquainted with modern and superior farming, enhanced seedlings fertilizers, modern pest control techniques, pesticides, fungicides and herbicides. In the present decade, many researchers are investigating the impact of ICTs in the field of agriculture. The quintessence of few international level studies conducted by Streeter et al. (1991), Sarahelen and Sonka (1997), Palaskas et al. (1997), Poole and Kenny (2003), Bertolini (2004), Kalusopa (2005), Kizilaslan, (2006) and Lio and Liu, (2006) exposed that the information and knowledge are important instruments to hasten agricultural development by means of apt production planning, cultivation practices, and appropriate management after post-harvesting. In India, Nataraju and Perumal (1996), Bhaskar and Rao (2001), Veeranjaneyulu (2004), Gupta (2005), Sharma et al. (2012) Adhiguru and Devi (2012) and Ansari and Pandey (2013) studied the effect of different types of ICTs in agriculture. But, there is no scientific research pursued in Pondicherry region at ground level. Hence, the present study attempts to fill the gap in the literature.

RESEARCH METHOD

The agricultural sector has been experiencing a number of changes and challenges over the course of development. The prime among the changes undergone by the agricultural sector is that the paradigm shift from the use of human and animal power to machine and information force. In fact, in the 21st century, the information and communication technology (ICT) became one of the chief driving forces. Its penetration can be seen almost in all corners of the sectors. It changed the way we think, live, buy, earn, learn, work, play, and relay. This trend attracts researchers all over the world to focus their attention on the impact of ITCs in the human life. Against the above theoretical background, the present study attempted to appraise the impact of ICTs in the agricultural development of Pondicherry union territory, India. Pondicherry region is a unique place on the planet, the core urban area has encompassed by the cosmopolitan and modern culture and out pocket of this region is emblematic rural. Under this circumstance, the present study assessed the role of ICTs in the agricultural development of rural Puducherry in 2016. In order to accomplish this study, both primary and secondary data have been used. Secondary data have been collected from the published reports and materials. Primary data have been collected from the sample respondents selected from the fields. As the eastern part of this region is covered by the Bay of Bengal, sample villages from rest of the three directions have been selected based on the place where intensive cultivation is taking place. For collection of primary data 90 respondents were selected from three selected villages such as Sanjeevi nagar from north, Baagur from south and Suthukeni from west using simple random sampling technique. The dataset includes socio-economic profiles of the sample respondents and role of television, radio, cell phone, and news papers, in offering information for the development of agriculture. The study uses simple percentage and Garrett Ranking (GR) method using the following formula

$$GR = \frac{100(R_{ij} - 0.5)}{N_j}$$

Where R_{ij} is rank given for ith item by jth individual N_j is number of items ranked by jth individual. The result of this technique identifies that, which one of the existing instruments is in the towering place in offering the information for agricultural development.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

India, the second fast growing nation, has its distinguishing characteristics with three sides of water and one side land. The existing, vast and diverse geographical conditions, races and religions, different climates, time-zones and culture, make it subcontinent in true sense of the term (Gupta and Gupta, 2008). Because of its inimitable locational characteristics, India has been in a fascinating place in the world map. At the time of independence, Indian economy was overwhelmingly agriculture and rural based in nature with around 85 per cent of the population lived in village areas and attained their livelihood from agricultural and its related activities using conventional low-productivity techniques. This nature of economic backwardness in Indian economy was reflected in its uneven occupational distribution with nearly 70 per cent of population engaged in agro-based activities (Kapila, 2009). Still agriculture is in the prominent place in offering employment and food for subsistence in India (Economic Survey, 2015). In the present study an attempt is made to find out the role of ICTs in the development of agriculture in rural Puducherry. The analysis part has been classified into two parts. The first part focuses on the socio-economic traits of sample respondents and the rest deals with the role of ICTs in agriculture.

The socio-economic status of a particular society is an important indicator to understand the present position and predict the future trend (Agarwal et al., 2005). Further, West et al. (2010) in their research highlighted that in a society, a family's or an individual's position in the existing environment can naturally be represented by socio-economic conditions, which is backed by various factors. The socio-economic status of the sample respondents presented in Table 1 reveals that male, middle and old age people are in majority. An overwhelming percentage (79) of Hindus respondents in the study area may be attributed to the concentration of this religion. Even though 21 per cent of the sample respondents are illiterate, they can able to receive agriculture related information in their vernacular language. Since, cultivation is the major economic activity in these villages, majority (47 per cent) gained more than 30 years of experience in this field, which implies that people have been involving in this activity right from their young age. Income is playing a substantial role in all walks of human life, in rural areas, land holding is one of the chief determinants of income, as major part of the village mass depending upon agriculture. Among the total 90 sample respondents, majority holding one to five acres of land, at the same time most of the sample respondents fall in the income category of up to 25,000 annual income per annum. This trend implies that the return from the agricultural activity is not as profitable as early. Further, it is worth noting that even though, 10 per cent of the respondents holding 6-10 acres land they don't have the conducive milieu to cultivate all the existing land.

In order to find the major role played by the existing instruments in the development of agriculture, the opinions of the sample respondents have been collected and the Garrett Ranking technique has been applied. Scholars in social science (Ramanigopal *et al.*, 2011; Myilswamy and Kumar, 2013; Chhachhar *et al.*, 2014; Syiem and Raj, 2015; and Mahendran and Sumathi, 2015) widely used this technique in ranking the observation of sample respondents in different areas. After crossing different stages such as the rank wise estimation, percent position, garret value, and multiplication of respective ranks, obtained the final Garrett Ranking result, as presented in Table 2 and Figure 1..

It is evident from the table that television is in the towering position with 71.4 per cent of the average scoring in disseminating information for agricultural development. Since, rural people are attracted by TV programs, which is functioning as a main vehicle in diffusing information related to cultivation. This result is coinciding with the research findings of Olaniyi (2013) and Chhachar *et al.*, (2014) in different nations. In the study area, radio is in the second place in providing the agriculture related information. Since, radio

| Variables | Classification | Frequency | Percentage | | |
|--------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Gender | Male | 71 | 78.9 | | |
| | Female | 19 | 21.1 | | |
| | Total | 90 | 100 | | |
| Age | Young | 14 | 15.5 | | |
| | Middle | 32 | 36.5 | | |
| | Old | 44 | 48.0 | | |
| Religion | Hindu | 71 | 78.9 | | |
| | Muslim | 16 | 17.8 | | |
| | Christian | 3 | 3.3 | | |
| Education | Illiterate | 19 | 21.1 | | |
| | Primary | 43 | 47.8 | | |
| | Secondary | 28 | 31.1 | | |
| Experience | Upto 15 years | 13 | 14.4 | | |
| | 16-30 | 35 | 38.9 | | |
| | 31 and above | 42 | 46.7 | | |
| Land holding | Less than 1 acre | 27 | 30.0 | | |
| | 1-5 | 54 | 60.0 | | |
| | 6-10 | 9 | 10.0 | | |
| Income | Upto 25,000 | 34 | 37.8 | | |
| | 20006-50,000 | 21 | 23.3 | | |
| | 51,001-1,00,000 | 29 | 32.2 | | |
| | 1,00001-1,50,000 | 6 | 6.5 | | |

Table: 1 Socio-economic profile of the sample respondents

Table: 2 Final results of Garret ranking (GR)

| | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|--------|---------|-----|----------|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------|-----|-----|
| Factor | Sum | Sample | Average | GR | 70 | | | a 71. | 4 | | | |
| F1- Radio | 5110 | 90 | 56.8 | II | 50 | | 6 56.8 | | • 447 | ● 50.5 | | |
| F2- Television | 6430 | 90 | 71.4 | Ι | 40 30 | | | | ,/ | | | |
| F3- Cell phone | 4020 | 90 | 44.7 | IV | 20 | | | | | | • 2 | 6.4 |
| F4-News paper | 4545 | 90 | 50.5 | III | 10 0 | | | | | | | |
| F5- Internet | 2380 | 90 | 26.4 | V | (|) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

80 .

Source: Computed from primary data



is very cheaper in cost to purchase and maintenance, most of the village people using this instrument for different purpose in general and agricultural purpose in particular. Moreover, rural cultivators are habituated to hear the programs in radio right from their childhood. News paper with 51 per cent score is in the third place, as the access to news paper is very high particularly in tea shops, government buildings and other public places of villages, people receive agro-based information through news papers. Even though, cell phones are widely used in rural areas, this finds place next to newspaper with regard to access of agriculture related information. Still people are using the cell phones to get information from the people such as distribution of seeds in the union office; availability of fertilizer in the nearby town, etc. As the level of technical knowhow is very low in rural areas, they are not in that position to get information for agricultural development from internet. Hence, accessing internet is in the rock bottom in the Garrett ranking.

CONCLUSION

The study administered the simple percentage and Garrett Ranking technique to analyze the data. The result of this study revealed that television is in the soaring position, followed by radio and newspapers in disseminating knowledge on suitable input applications like soil quality test, high quality seeds, pesticide and fertilizer use, credit opportunities, agricultural prices and cultivation methods. Hence, the study suggests that broadcasting agro-based programs should be more effectively relayed through local and national level channels in vernacular language to enhance the agricultural production, as this is a herculean weapon to reduce poverty not only in India but also all other underdeveloped and developing nations.

REFERENCE

- Adhiguru, P. and S.V. Devi. 2012. ICT in Indian Agriculture: Learnings and way ahead. International Journal of Extension Education 3(8): 1-4.
- Agarwal, O.P., S.K. Bhasin, A.K. Sharma, P. Chhabra, K. Agarwal, O.P. Rajoura. 2005. A new instrument (scale) for measuring the socioeconomic status of a family: Preliminary study. Indian Journal of Community Medicine 9(30): 111–114.
- Ahluwalia, M.S. 1978. Rural Poverty in India: 1956-57 to 1973-74., Occasional Papers, World Bank Staff Working Paper 279, Washington, D.C.
- Annan, K., General Secretary of the United Nations. He stresses the International Community's objective of harnessing informatics revolution for the Benefit of Mankind. *In*: United Nations Commission on Science and Technology for Development - Inter-Agency Project on Universal Access to Basic Communication and Information Services', 3rd Session, Geneva, 1997.
- Ansari, M.A. and N. Pandey. 2011. Assessing the potential and use of mobile phones by the farmers in Uttarakhand (India): A special project report. G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India.
- Batte, M.T., G.D. Schnitkey, and E. Jones. 1990. Sources use and adequacy of marketing information for commercial mid-western cash grain farmers. North Central Journal of Agricultural Economics.12 (2):187-196.
- Bertolini, R. 2004. Making information and communication technologies work for food security in Africa. 2020 Africa Conference Brief 11. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Bhaskar, G. and V. Rao. 2001. The ICT community: Warana Wired Village Project. Agricultural Extension Management (MANAGE) Hyderabad, India.
- Bresciani, F. and A. Valdés. 2007. Beyond Food Production: The Role of Agriculture in Poverty Reduction. Food & Agriculture Organization of The United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Johnston, B.F. and J.W. Mellor. 1961. The Role of Agriculture in Economic Development. The American Economic Review 51(4): 566-593.
- Chhachhar, A.R., B., Qureshi, G.M., Khushk, and S. Ahmed. 2014. Impact of information and communication technologies in agriculture development. Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research 4(1): 281-288.
- Christiaensen, L.J., and L. Demery. 2007. Down to Earth: Agriculture and Poverty in Africa. World Bank, Washington, DC.`
- Christiaensen, L.J., L. Demery, and J. Kuhl. 2010. The (evolving) role of agriculture in poverty reduction An empirical perspective. Journal of Development Economics 96: 239–254.
- Datt, G. and M. Ravallion. 1996. How important to India's poor is the sectoral composition of economic growth? The World Bank Economic Review 10: 1–25.
- Datt, G. and M. Ravallion. 1998. Farm productivity and rural poverty in India. The Journal of Development Studies 34(4): 62-85.

- DFID. 2004. Agriculture, Growth and Poverty Reduction. Agriculture and Poverty Reduction Working Paper 1, Department for International Development (DFID), London.
- Economic Survey. 2015. Economic Outlook, Prospects, and Policy Challenges. Ministry of Finance, India.
- Emmanuel, C.O. 2010. Differential mass media usage among rice farmers in Nigeria. Evidence from Benue State. Journal of Communication. 1(1): 33-36.
- Gupta, D.K. 2005. Modern encyclopedia of media and mass communication. Rajat Publications, New Delhi, India.
- Gupta, K.R. and J.R. Gupta. 2008. Indian Economy. Atlantic Publ, New Delhi.
- Irz, X., L. Lin, C. Thirtle, and S. Wiggins. 2001. Agricultural productivity growth and poverty alleviation. Development Policy Review 19(4): 449-466.
- Kalusopa, T. 2005. The challenges of utilizing information communication technologies (ICTs) for the small-scale farmers in Zambia. Library Hi Tech 23: 414-424.
- Kapila, U. 2009. Indian Economy since Independence. Academic press, New Delhi.
- Kizilaslan, N. 2006. Agricultural information systems: A national case study. Library Review 55: 497-507.
- Kuznets, S. 1966. Modern Economic Growth: Rate, Structure, and Spread. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Ligon, E. and E. Sadoulet. 2008. Estimating the Effects of Aggregate Agricultural Growth on the Distribution of Expenditures. Background paper for the World Development Report 2008, World Bank.
- Lio, M. and M. Liu. 2006. ICT and agricultural productivity: Evidence from cross country data. Agricultural Economics 34: 221–228.
- Loayza, N.V. and C. Raddatz. 2010. The composition of growth matters for poverty alleviation. Journal of Development Economics 93: 137–151.
- Mahendran, A. and T. Sumathi. 2015. Problems and factors of the single HP LPG customers in Coimbatore district. Golden Research Thoughts 4(7): 1-10
- Matsuyama, K. 1992. Agricultural productivity, comparative advantage, and economic growth. Journal of Economic Theory 58: 317–334.
- Mellor, J.W. 2001. Faster more equitable growth agriculture, employment multipliers and poverty reduction. Agricultural Policy Development Project Research Report 4, Cambridge, MA.
- Montalvo. J. and M, Ravallion. 2009. The Pattern of Growth and Poverty Reduction in China. Policy Research Working Paper 5 069. The World Bank.
- Myilswamy, K. and R.K. Kumar. 2013. Consumer Preference Utilizing Mobile Communication Service Providers in Coimbatore District. International Journal of Business and Management Invention 2(9): 1-5.
- Nataraju, M. S., and G. Perumal. 1996. Critical factors determining effectiveness of farm journals. Indian J. Extn. Edu. 7(4): 1534-1537.
- Nazari, M.R. and A.H. Hasbullah. 2008. Farmers' approach and access to information and communication technology in the efficient use of modern irrigation methods. European Journal of Scientific Research 21(1): 38- 44.
- Nicholls W.H. 1970. The place of agriculture in economic development. *In*: Eicher and Witt (eds). Agriculture in economic Development. Vora and Co. Publisher Pvt., Ltd., Bombay.
- Olaniyi, O.A. 2013. Assessment of utilization of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) among poultry farmers in Nigeria: an Emerging Challenge. Journal of Animal Science Advances 3 (7):361-369.

- Pack, H. 2009. Should South Asia emulate East Asian tigers? *In*: E. Ghani and S. Ahmed (ed). Accelerating growth and job creation in South Asia (pp 75-80). Oxford University Press. New Delhi.
- Palaskas, T. B., B. Harriss-White, and T. Crowe. 1997. The evolution of local market commodity price behavior in south India, 1972–92. Journal of International Development 9(1): 101–115.
- Poole, N. D. and L. Kenny. 2003. Agricultural market knowledge: Systems for delivery of a private and public good. Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension 5(9): 117–126.
- Ramanigopal, C.S, G. Palaniappan, N. Hemalatha, and A. Mani. 2011. Customer Perception Towards Internet Banking Services: With Special Reference to Erode District. Asian Journal of Business and Economics 1(4): 8-11.
- Ravallion, M. and S. Chen. 2007. China's (uneven) progress against poverty. Journal of Development Economics 82(1): 1-42.
- Sarahelen, T. and S.T. Sonka. 1997. Potential effects of information technologies on the economic performance of agricultural and food markets. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 79(2): 657–662.
- Schultz, T.W. 1964. Transforming Traditional Agriculture. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Schultz, T. 1979. The Economics of Being Poor. The Journal of Political Economy 88(4): 639-651.
- Sharm, A.N. and A. Kumar. 2011. The role of agricultural policy in poverty reduction: the Indian experience. Paper presented at the Centre for Strategic Economic Studies Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research International Workshop on the Role of Agriculture in Poverty Reduction, Melbourne. Centre for Strategic Economic Studies, Victoria University: Melbourne.
- Singer, H. 1979. Policy implications of the Lima target. Industry and Development 3: 17–22.
- Sharma, A.K., and S. Kumar. 2011. Effect of working capital management on firm profitability: Empirical evidence from India. Global Business Review 12(1): 159-173.
- Sharma, M., G. Kaur, and M.S. Gill. 2012. Use of Information and Communication Technology in agriculture by farmers of Kapurthala. District Journal of Krishi Vigyan Kendra 1(1): 82-89.
- Streeter, D.H., S.T. Sonka, and M.A. Hudson. 1991. Information technology, coordination, and competitiveness in the food and agribusiness sector. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 73(5): 1465–1471.
- Syiem, R. and S. Raj. 2015. Access and Usage of ICTs for Agriculture and Rural Development by the tribal farmers in Meghalaya State of North-East India. Journal of Agricultural Informatics 6(3): 24-41.
- Thirtle C., X. Irz., L. Lin, V. McKenzie-Hill, and S. Wiggins. 2001. Relationship between changes in agricultural productivity and the incidence of poverty in developing countries. Commissioned report. Department for International Development. London.
- Veeranjaneyulu, K. 2004. Community information services for farmers. Information, communication, library and community development. BR: New Delhi, 393-397.
- Virmani A. 2007. The sudoku of growth, poverty and malnutrition: policy implications for lagging states. Planning Commission. Working Paper No. 2/2007-PC. Government of India: New Delhi.
- West, P., H. Sweeting, R. Young, and S. Kelly. 2010. The relative importance of family socioeconomic status and school-based peer hierarchies for morning cortisol in youth: An exploratory study. Journal of Science and Medicine 70(8): 1246–1253.
- World Bank. 2007. Ghana: Meeting the challenge of accelerated and shared growth. Report No. 40934-GH. Washington, DC.
- World Bank. 2008. The Growth Report: Strategies for Sustained Growth and Inclusive Development. Commission on Growth and Development, The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development.
- World Bank. 2008. Agriculture for Development. World Development Report. World Bank, Washington DC.