# **JSDS: JOURNAL OF STATISTICS AND DATA SCIENCE**

VOLUME 2, No 1, March 2023 e-ISSN: 2828-9986 https://ejournal.unib.ac.id/index.php/jsds/index



# Applied Different Pixel Selection in METRIC Model for Estimating Spatial Daily Evapotranspiration of Oil Palm in East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia

#### Nur Muhammad Abdul Dhohir<sup>1</sup> and Tania June<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Agrometeorology Division, Department of Geophysics and Meteorology, IPB University, Indonesia

\* Corresponding Author: taniajune@apps.ipb.ac.id

Article Info	Abstract
Article History: Received: November 17, 2022 Accepted: March 6, 2023 Available Online: March 30, 2023	Determination of evapotranspiration (ET) plays a key role in managing water in oil palm plantations. Several energy balances models have been developed for mapping evapotranspiration regionally. Subsequently, this study aims to estimate daily evapotranspiration in oil palm plantation using the METRIC model, where pixel selection used
Key Words: Evapotranspiration METRIC Model Oil Palm Pixel Selection	and corrected by hot and cold pixels. The climate data were collected from ERA-5 Reanalysis and Landsat 8 was used for spatial analysis. The result depicts the means $\pm$ standard deviation of ET without pixel selection (with pixel selection), specifically for oil palms age of 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 13 years were $3.19 \pm 1.62 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $3.31 \pm 1.14 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $4.01 \pm 0.96 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $4.84 \pm 0.87 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $6.29 \pm 0.43 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $5.72 \pm 0.44 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $6.43 \pm 0.23 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ and $6.21 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ( $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $3.99 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $2.96 \pm 0.34 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $3.14 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ , $4.26 \pm 0.24 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ and $4.18 \pm 0.30 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ), respectively. We have found more accurate ET determination with pixel selection (higher coefficient of determination).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Elaeis guineensis, so-called oil palm, is an agricultural commodity with high economic value. In the past decades, the supply-demand of oil palm products has increased drastically and the palm oil industry, which has a continual prospect, led to the expansion of oil palm plantations chiefly in Indonesia [38]. Indonesia has become one of the largest countries with major land use for oil palm plantations, especially in Sumatra and Kalimantan islands. In 2019, East Kalimantan Province had an oil palm plantation that covers around 1,254.20 ha of the total oil palm area in Indonesia (14,456.60 ha). The oil palm coverage is expanding every year, which in 2020 increased by about 4.74% from 2019 and in 2021 increased by around 3.99% from 2020 [2]. Therefore, along with increasing the demand for oil palm products to maintain and enhance the oil palm productivity every year, water crop requirements for oil palm become a vital study to be monitored and evaluated, which can be achieved by quantifying and estimating the evapotranspiration as a loss of water amount [11, 20].

Estimating evapotranspiration as a residual surface energy balance can be carried out with remote-based sensing to monitor the agricultural area [7]. There are several energy balances models that have been developed for use in estimating evapotranspiration, such as Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL) [32, 35], Two Sources Energy Balance (TSEB) [9, 36], and Mapping Evapotranspiration at High Resolution with Internalized Calibration (METRIC) [21]. In particular, METRIC has advantages for mapping evapotranspiration which considers the separated energy balance calculation and pixel selections, which are hot and cold pixels, for more accurate results [8, 23].

SEBAL model has been conducted in oil palm plantation by [24], which depicted latent heat fluxes as the energy used to evaporate water from the surface and plant, had a high value in mature oil palm than in young oil palm. [27] denoted the appropriate spatial daily evapotranspiration using the SEBAL model, which was validated by the aerodynamics method from eddy covariance flux tower observation. However, TSEB and METRIC models have not been conducted to estimate the evapotranspiration in oil palm plantations. Thus, we concern with (i) estimating and depicting the spatial daily evapotranspiration of oil palms at different ages and (ii) analyzing the statistical value

between different treatment of pixel selections (with and without pixel selection), in particular of the utilization of the METRIC model in PT Teladan Prima Agro, East Kalimantan Province.

# 2. METHOD

## 2.1 Area of Study

The study was conducted at the Muara Bengkal Estate, PT. Teladan Prima Agro (See figure 1), which located at latitudes of 0° 28' - 0° 36' N and longitudes of 116° 44' - 116° 50' E in East Kalimantan Province Province, Indonesia.



Figure 1. The geographic location of the research site in the Muara Bengkal Estate

## 2.2 Plotting Design of Oil Palm Area

We performed our research on 4 sample parts of the plantation area, so-called afdeling: afdeling 01, 02, 03, and 04 and seven blocks, which were blocks G, J, M, N, O, P, and T (see Figure 2). Afdeling 01 was consisted of Block G, M and N. Block G had 138.13 ha of the planted area from 143.71 ha surface area, which had a flat land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in July and August 2007), road, pond, and marsh. Block M had 91.95 ha of the planted area from 91.95 ha of surface area, which had a wavy land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in September and November 2007), road and marsh. Block N had 14.39 ha of the planted area from 14.39 ha of surface area, which had a wavy land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in July and August 2007), road, forest and marsh.

Afdeling 02 was consisted of block J. Block J had 39.19 ha of the planted area from 40.95 ha of surface area, which hds a flat land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in October 2009) and road. Moreover, Afdeling 03 was consisted of Block P and T. Block P had 104.34 ha of the planted area from 153.79 ha of surface area, which had a hills land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in January 2008), road, marsh, steep road, emplacement, and pond. Block T had 97.36 ha of the planted area from 117.34 ha of surface area, which had a hills land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in March and June 2008), road, steep road, and forest. Subsequently, Afdeling 04 was consisted of Block O. Block O had 36.43 ha of the planted area from 69.18 ha of surface area, which had a hills land topography, consisting of oil palm (planted in August and September 2011), road, marsh, steep road and forest.



Figure 2. The area of oil palms at the different planted years

#### 2.3 Data Analysis

Our research was performed using several applications, viz. ArcGIS 10.8.1, Python 3.6.8, ENVI Software 5.3, and Microsoft Office 2019 to visualize, calculate, and analyze the data. We used Landsat 8 OLI/TIRS with path/raw 125/61, acquisition date: 06/04/2015 and 03/04/2020. We also used ERA-5 Reanalysis data, which included an hour of air temperature, dew-point temperature, air pressure, and wind speed. The ERA-5 Reanalysis also provided incoming and outgoing short and longwave radiation. We used elevation data obtained from Google Earth Pro.

METRIC model is the model-based energy balance and remote sensing where calculated evapotranspiration from latent heat fluxes as the residual energy balance components. The calculation will show in equation 1 below:

$$LE = RN - H - G \tag{1}$$

where, RN = net radiation (Wm<sup>-2</sup>), G = ground heat flux (Wm<sup>-2</sup>), H = sensible heat flux (Wm<sup>-2</sup>), and LE = latent heat flux (Wm<sup>-2</sup>).

#### 2.3.1 Radiometric Calibration

Landsat 8 Imagery OLI/TIRS mostly in the form of digital number format. Radiometric calibration is necessary to alter from the digital number to spectrum radiance (equation 2), so the imagery can be calculated further. The alteration uses radiometric calibration that can utilize gain and offset parameters, which is available on image metadata [30]. Subsequently, the cloud covers are removed in the Landsat 8 imagery.

$$L(\lambda) = M_L Q_{cal} + A_L \tag{2}$$

where  $L(\lambda) = \text{Top of Atmosphere spectral radiance}$ ,  $M_L = \text{Radiance multi-band}$ ,  $Q_{cal} = \text{Digital Number (DN) band}$ , and  $A_L = \text{Radiance add band}$ 

#### 2.3.2 Calculation of basic parameters in pixel selection

Hot and cold pixels selected by 2 parameters: Normalized Different Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Land Surface Temperature (LST). NDVI illustrates the Proportion of Vegetation (PV), which measure the difference between Near-Infrared (Red) electromagnetic spectrum reflected (absorbed) by vegetation. Reference [14], calculation of NDVI in Landsat 8 uses band 5 (NIR) and band 4 (Red), which is the equation will show in the following:

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR \ (band \ 5) - Red \ (band \ 4)}{NIR \ (band \ 5) + Red \ (band \ 4)} \tag{3}$$

where *NIR* = Reflectance of Near-Infrared band (band 5 in Landsat 8), *Red* = Reflectance of Red band (band 4 in Landsat 8)

LST can be calculated by converse the brightness temperature [4, 14], where the conversion conducted in order to change radiance spectral to temperature value in Kelvin or Celcius [30].

$$Tb = \frac{k_2}{\ln(\frac{k_1}{L(\lambda)} + 1)} \tag{4}$$

$$Ts = \frac{T_b}{1 + \left(\frac{\lambda T_b}{\partial}\right) ln\varepsilon}$$
(5)

where  $T_b$  = brightness temperature (K),  $k_1$  = spectral radiance calibration constant,  $k_2$  = absolute temperature calibration constant (K),  $L(\lambda)$  = *Spectral radiance*, Ts = land surface temperature (K),  $\lambda$  = emitted long-wave radiation wave length (11.5 µm),  $\varepsilon$  = emissivity,  $\partial$  = ratio of hc/ $\sigma$  (1,438 x 10<sup>-2</sup> mK).

Furthermore, to calculate the emissivity, the traditional method used with utilizing the PV derived from NDVI [10, 25]. The equation will show in the following:

$$PV = \frac{(NDVI - NDVI_{Min})}{(NDVI_{Max} - NDVI_{Min})}$$
(6)

$$\varepsilon = 0,004 \mathrm{Pv} + 0,98 \tag{7}$$

#### 2.3.3 Calibration of Sensible Heat Flux

Sensible heat flux (H) is an energy balance component with a complex algorithm. H depicts energy changes imposed by alteration in temperature at different high above the plant [19, 21, 32, 33, 34, 35]. There are several supplementary calculations to calibrate H; they are roughness length, wind speed at 200 meters, friction velocity, aerodynamics resistance and temperature gradient.

$$z_0 = 0.12 \text{ x h}$$
 (8)

$$z_0 = \exp\left[\left(\frac{a_1 NDVI}{\alpha_s}\right) + b_1\right] \tag{9}$$

$$U^* = \left(\frac{k \ x \ U_{200}}{\ln\left(\frac{200}{Z_0}\right)}\right) \tag{10}$$

$$r_{ah} = \left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\right)}{(U^* x \, k)}\right) \tag{11}$$

$$dT = b + a x T_s \tag{12}$$

where  $z_0$  = roughness length (m), h = plant height (m),  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  = constant regression from  $\ln(z_0)$  to  $\frac{NDVI}{\alpha_s}$  [31] where  $\alpha_s$  = surface albedo [22],  $U_{200}$  = wind speed at 200 meters (m/s), U = wind speed at 2 meters (m/s), k = Von Karman constant (0,41),  $U^*$  = friction velocity (m/s),  $r_{ah}$  = Aerodynamics resistance (s m<sup>-1</sup>) [29],  $z_1$  = height 1,  $z_2$ = height 2, dT = temperature gradient,  $T_s$  = LST (high and low LST in Celcius), a and b constitute correlation coefficient between dT and  $T_s$  [21].

METRIC model has advantages to calibrate the sensible heat fluxes internally using pixel selection (see equations 13 and 14), where the cold and hot pixel is defined as a potential condition of evapotranspiration and hardly any evapotranspiration at the surface, respectively. The cold and hot pixel candidature can be determined using Normalized Different Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Land Surface Temperature (LST). Both pixels can be found at 1% and 2% in the area of interest, respectively. Most of the candidates' cold pixels were found in the agricultural field, whereas bare soil represents the hot pixel [1, 3, 17]. Generally, 1% of the isolated area (candidate of the cold pixel) can be obtained by selecting 5% of the coldest LST and 20% of the highest NDVI. Besides, the hot pixel is founded in 10% of the warmest LST and 20% of the lowest NDVI [17]. However, due to many pixels in the area of interest, which will impact the model run time, so we adjust the criteria percentage of NDVI from 20% to 15% reference to [37]. On the other hand, without pixel selection uses the average of LST from all the pixels in study area.

$$H_{hot} = (RN - G) - LE_{hot} \tag{13}$$

$$H_{cold} = (RN - G) - LE_{cold} \tag{14}$$

where  $H_{hot}$  = sensible heat flux at hot pixel (Wm<sup>-2</sup>),  $H_{cold}$  = sensible heat flux at cold pixel (Wm<sup>-2</sup>),  $LE_{hot}$  = latent heat flux at hot pixel (Wm<sup>-2</sup>), and  $LE_{cold}$  = latent heat flux at cold pixel (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) [21].

 $LE_{hot}$  is assumed to zero, while  $LE_{cold}$  is calculated by equations below:

$$LE_{cold} = 1.05 \ x \ \lambda_{v} \ x \ ETr \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_v = [2.501 - 0.00236 (LST - 273.15)] \times 10^6$$
(16)

In actual conditions, the mass and heat transfers at a small-scale range take place vertically and horizontally. However, the earth's surface has horizontally inhomogeneities that impact radiation distribution and other energy budget components. The other components (sensible, latent, and ground heat fluxes) are responses to the radiative forcing. Subsequently, the partitioning of the ratio's G/RN, H/RN, and LE//RN is expected to depend on micrometeorological data. Therefore, the assumption of G that is proportional to RN through empirical regression restricts the transfer of heat and mass only occurring vertically [26].

In the preceded foundation of the METRIC model, so-called SEBAL, an evaporative fraction (EF) as the ratio of available energy (RN-G) was used to simplify the energy balance. EF is defined to be the same for the 24 hours period. However, increasing advection may occur during the day, resulting in increased evapotranspiration in the proportion of RN-G. Thus, METRIC uses Reference Evapotranspiration Fraction (ETrF), to be constant in a day, which is capturable any impact of increasing advection during the day [21]. ETrF is calculated using daily evapotranspiration (accumulation) and hourly evapotranspiration when Landsat-8 passes (equation 17-19).

$$ET_{24} = ETrF \ x \ ET_{r-24} \tag{17}$$

$$ETrF = \frac{ET_{inst}}{ETr}$$
(18)

$$ET_{inst} = 3,600 x \frac{LE}{\lambda_{\nu} x \rho w}$$
(19)

where  $ET_{24}$  = daily evapotranspiration from METRIC model (mm d<sup>-1</sup>), ETrF = reference evapotranspiration fraction (mm h<sup>-1</sup>),  $ET_{r-24}$  = accumulation of reference evapotranspiration (mm d<sup>-1</sup>),  $ET_{inst}$  = instantaneous evapotranspiration (mm h<sup>-1</sup>), ETr = reference evapotranspiration fraction (mm h<sup>-1</sup>),  $\rho w$  = water density (~1,000 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) [21].

Based on [21], the METRIC model uses the standardized ASCE Penman-Monteith equation as reference evapotranspiration. However, due to different characteristics of the surface in oil palm plantations, the principle of physics method so-called Penman-Monteith ( $ET_0$ ) from Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) 56 was used as reference evapotranspiration (ETr) [27], which appropriated to estimate the heat flux in oil palm plantation either at young or adult ages [15].

$$ET_0 = \frac{0,408\Delta(R_n - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T + 273}u(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34u)}$$
(20)

where  $ET_0$  = standard evapotranspiration (mm d<sup>-1</sup>), RN = net radiation (MJ m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), G = ground heat flux (MJ m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>),  $e_s$  = saturated vapor pressure (hPa) [5],  $e_a$  = actual vapor pressure (hPa) [13],  $\Delta$  = slope of the saturation vapor pressure-temperature curve (hPa K<sup>-1</sup>) [6, 16],  $\gamma$  = Psychrometric constant (hPa K<sup>-1</sup>), u = wind speed at 2 m (m s<sup>-1</sup>), T = temperature average at 2 m (°C).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Aerodynamic Transport

The sensible heat flux rate drives the buoyancy forces within the boundary layer to influence the aerodynamics resistance (rah). In the METRIC model, an iterative solution is used to estimate the rah, where friction velocity (u\*) was computed at the first iteration. The first iteration used logarithmic wind law for neutral atmospheric conditions [21], which is the tangential rate of air parcel movement impacted by mechanical turbulence so that the neutral condition is favorable to determine u\* when buoyancy forces less significance [28]. The u\* was impacted by the surface roughness, thereby figure 3 illustrates the difference between aerodynamic resistance (rah) and friction velocity (u\*) values at several wind speed measurement heights. The friction velocity witnessed a decline along with rising wind speed measurements height until it reached constant at 200 meters. Decreasing the u\* impacted increasing of rah until reached constant values when the u\* has stable rates. The u\* elevates the rate of the eddy diffusion process of latent heat fluxes. In unstable (stable) conditions, eddy diffusion increases (decreases), which will impact more (less) water vapor transfer [29]. Reference [21], wind speed measurement height at 200 meters is assumed to be constant over all the pixels in the satellite imagery, which is unaffected by the surface features. The constant values define the relation between temperature gradient (dT) and surface temperature (LST) that can extend the entire imagery. Therefore, the utilization of wind speed measurement height at 200 m to calculate u\* in the METRIC model is appropriate to describe the neutral condition of rah and also necessary for determining of specific roughness length for each pixel.

The u\* in 2015 (oil palm aged 4, 6, 7, and 8 years) is higher than in 2020 (oil palm aged 7, 9, 12, and 13 years). They are from  $0.10 - 0.13 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  and  $0.11 - 0.14 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , respectively. Then, it impacts the lower rah of young oil palm than mature oil palm, which are  $52.85 - 66.54 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  and  $56.29 - 70.39 \text{ sm}^{-1}$ , respectively. According to [28], young oil palm had a very small roughness length, which is a smooth surface that did not have a large absorbed momentum area. Besides, mature oil palm absorbed more momentum due to rough surface characteristics and triggered bigger turbulence production. Therefore, it imposed greater shear stress in mature than young oil palm and indicate the increasing u\*, which had a strong and positive correlation to wind speed.



Figure 3. Aerodynamics resistance and friction velocity of oil palms at different measurement heights

#### **3.2 Pixel Selection and Spatial Daily Evapotranspiration**

The principle of NDVI is concerned to plant growth effectiveness with increased absorbed radiation until it reaches a particular point. NDVI uses the reflected NIR wavelength that can measure the photosynthetically plants on the surface and at the various structure of different vegetation covers [12]. Reference [24], the vegetation structure of young oil palm has less vegetation cover and canopy compared to mature oil palm. Thereby, it will impact the high surface temperature on the plantation.

Table 1 shows the statistical parameter such as minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of NDVI. The NDVI value at the consecutive imagery is in the range 0.39 - 0.95 (4, 6, 7 and 8 years-oil palm) and 0.48 - 0.96 (9, 11, 12 and 13 years-oil palm). Average values show mature oil palms have high NDVI than young oil palms. Young oil palms at 4 years have the lowest NDVI, which is  $0,838 \pm 0,073$  due to less dense vegetation and tend to be open land.

4/6/2015						
	4 Years	6 Years	7 Years		8 Years	
	Block O	Block J	Block P	Block T	Block G	Block MN
Min	0.389	0.601	0.527	0.544	0.559	0.595
Max	0.922	0.936	0.946	0.939	0.944	0.942
Mean	0.838	0.882	0.892	0.874	0.890	0.899
Std.	0.073	0.047	0.052	0.053	0.051	0.048
4/3/2020						
	9 Years	11 Years	12 Years		13 Years	
	Block O	Block J	Block P	Block T	Block G	Block MN
Min	0.479	0.824	0.824	0.826	0.824	0.825
Max	0.941	0.941	0.949	0.945	0.957	0.940
Mean	0.831	0.894	0.907	0.893	0.901	0.940
Std.	0.078	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.023

Table 1. Statistical parameter of NDVI at different oil palm ages

LST also plays an important role in the METRIC model as a basic determination of cold and hot pixels, which is assumed to have maximum evapotranspiration (low LST) and hardly any evapotranspiration (high LST). However, the surface condition and cloud covers must be considered. Therefore, not all the pixels with high LST represent the hot pixel. On the contrary, LST on oil palm plantations can be the cold pixel candidate representing the high vegetation cover.

LST in Table 2 shows average values of oil palm in 2015 and 2020; 23.56 °C - 25.66 °C and 20.59 °C - 22.87 °C, respectively. The lowest LST showed by oil palms aged 12 years at block P, which is  $21.41\pm 0.15$  °C. On the other hand, the highest LST is shown by oil palms aged 4 and 6 years, which is  $24.51 \pm 0.34$  °C dan  $24.51 \pm 0.32$  °C,

respectively. Therefore, young oil palms (4, 6, 7 and 8 years) tend to have a high LST than mature oil palms (9, 11, 12 and 13 years).

			4/6/2015			
	4 Years	6 Years	7 Years		8 Years	
	Block O	Block J	Blok P	Blok T	Blok G	Blok MN
Min	23.968	23.924	23.913	24.045	23.843	23.562
Max	25.521	25.657	25.376	25.059	25.397	25.122
Mean	24.505	24.505	24.269	24.404	24.268	23.983
Std.	0.355	0.317	0.176	0.206	0.224	0.205
4/3/2020						
	9 Years	11 Years	12 Years		13 Years	
	Block O	Block J	Blok P	Blok T	Blok G	Blok MN
Min	20.901	21.518	20.976	21.256	20.590	21.386
Max	22.044	22.507	21.869	22.084	22.088	22.444
Mean	21.515	21.902	21.413	21.612	21.499	21.735
Std.	0.210	0.197	0.145	0.121	0.235	0.142

Table 2. Statistical parameter of LST at different oil palm ages

Pixel selection in the METRIC model must determine the location of the cold and hot pixels. The cold and hot pixels can represent the surface condition and above. The utilization of METRIC model needs to determine the anchor pixel to restrict the candidatures both pixels in each satellite imagery. However, errors may occur from the users. Therefore, the applied criterion in the selection process using NDVI and LST can decrease user error [17]. The candidatures of cold and hot pixels are shown in Figure 4. NDVI values of the hot pixels (cold pixels) candidate at the consecutive imagery are 0.35 - 0.45 (0.93 - 0.94) and 0.30 - 0.40 (0.93 - 0.95). LST values of the hot pixels (cold pixels) candidate are 301.00K - 302.00K (296.75K - 297.00K) and 298.00K - 299.00K (294.00K - 294.50K).



Figure 4. Pixel selection in the METRIC Model of (a) hot pixels candidate and (b) cold pixels candidate

The amount of daily evapotranspiration at several oil palm ages received from the METRIC model with and without pixel selection in the calibration process is given in Figure 5. The automated calibration in the METRIC model uses reference evapotranspiration hourly and daily. Both values are used for scaling the instantaneous evapotranspiration to daily evapotranspiration. The hourly reference evapotranspiration at consecutive image acquisition is 0.52 mm h<sup>-1</sup> and 0.69 mm h<sup>-1</sup>. The daily reference evapotranspiration or accumulation of evapotranspiration 24 hours period is 4.28 mm d<sup>-1</sup> and 5.07 mm d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Figure 5 depicts the daily spatial evapotranspiration in different oil palm ages with and without pixel selection. In acquisition imagery 06/04/2015 shows without pixel selection (with pixel selection), the means  $\pm$  standard deviation of daily evapotranspiration specifically for oil ages 4, 6, 7 and 8 were  $3.19 \pm 1.62 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.31 \pm 1.14 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $4.01 \pm 0.96 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$  and  $4.84 \pm 0.87 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$  ( $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.99 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $2.96 \pm 0.34 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$  and  $3.14 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ), respectively. Otherwise, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of daily evapotranspiration in 2020, acquisition date: 03/04/2020, without pixel selection (with pixel selection) for oil palm ages 9, 11, 12 and 13 years were  $6.29 \pm 0.43 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $5.72 \pm 0.44 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $6.43 \pm 0.23 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$  and  $6.21 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$  ( $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.99 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $4.26 \pm 0.24 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ ,  $6.418 \pm 0.30 \text{ mm } \text{d}^{-1}$ , respectively.



Figure 5. Distribution of spatial daily evapotranspiration in Muara Bengkal Estate, PT Teladan Prima Agro, East Kalimantan Province.

### 3.3 Validation of Evapotranspiration from METRIC Model

The validation of daily evapotranspiration from the METRIC model was conducted using the daily ET from the Earth Engine Flux (EEFlux). EEFlux has been developed by the Consortium of Nebraska-Lincoln, Desert Research Institute, and the University of Idaho, funded by Google. One of the EEFlux goals is accurately to estimate the spatial distribution of ET by vegetation at the 30 meters scale using thermal-equipped Landsat imagery [18]. Subsequently, the METRIC model can represent the spatial distribution of ET. Regarding the selection treatment, both satellite images show a higher coefficient of determination with pixel selection than without pixel selection.

 Table 3. A comparison between estimated ET and EEFlux in different pixel selections based on the coefficient of determination

A aquisition Imagany	EEFlux				
Acquisition imagery	Without Selection	With Selection			
6/4/2015	0.28	0.52			
3/4/2020	0.20	0.57			

# 4. CONCLUSION

The METRIC model can determine the spatial distribution of daily ET of oil palms in Muara Bengkal Estate, PT Teladan Prima Agro. The means  $\pm$  standard deviation of spatial daily evapotranspiration without pixel selection (with pixel selection) at consecutive satellite imagery, specifically for oil palms aged 4, 6, 7 and 8 years (2015), and 9, 11, 12 and 13 years (2020) were  $3.19 \pm 1.62 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.31 \pm 1.14 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $4.01 \pm 0.96 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  and  $4.84 \pm 0.87 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  ( $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.99 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $2.96 \pm 0.34 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  and  $3.14 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ) and  $6.29 \pm 0.43 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $5.72 \pm 0.44 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $6.43 \pm 0.23 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  and  $6.21 \pm 0.33 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  ( $4.22 \pm 0.49 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $3.99 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ,  $4.26 \pm 0.24 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  and  $4.18 \pm 0.30 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ), respectively. In the calibration process of sensible heat fluxes, utilization of criterion in the hot and cold pixels results in a more accurate map of daily ET based on the coefficient of determination, which at the consecutive imagery denote with pixel selection has a higher value than without pixel selection.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been supported by the Agrometeorology Division, Department of Geophysics and Meteorology, IPB University. Our thanks go to the PT Teladan Prima Agro for allowing us to carried out this research on their plantation, especially in Muara Bengkal Estate, East Kutai, East Kalimantan, Indonesia.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Irmak, I. Ratchliffe, P. Ranade, K. G. Hubbard, K. Singh, B. Kamble, and J. Kjaersgaard, "Estimation of Land Surface Evapotranspiration with a Satellite Remote Sensing Procedure," *Great Plains Research: A Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, vol. 21, pp. 73-88, 2011.
- [2] Badan Pusat Statistik, "Luas Tanaman Perkebunan Menurut Provinsi (Ribu Hektar), 2019-2021," June, 30 2022, Distributed by Badan Pusat Statistik, https://bps.go.id/indicator/54/131/1/luas-tanaman-perkebunan-menurutprovinsi.html.
- [3] C. G. Morton, J. L. Huntington, G. M. Pohll, R. G. Allen, K. C. McGwire, and S. D. Bassett, "Assessing Calibration Uncertainty and Automation for Estimating Evapotranspiration from Agricultural Areas Using METRIC," *Journal of the American Water Research Association*, vol. 49 no. 3, pp. 549-562, June, 2013.
- [4] D. A. Artis and W. H. Carnahan, "Survey of emissivity variability in thermography of urban areas," *Journal Remote Sensing Environment*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 313-329, September, 1982.
- [5] D. Bolton, "The computation of equivalent potential temperature," *Mon. Weather Rev*, vol. 108, pp. 1046–1053, July, 1980.
- [6] F. W. Murray, "On the computation of saturation vapor pressure," J. Appl. Meteorol, vol. 239, pp. 71-85, August, 1967.
- [7] H. Nieto, W. P. Kustas, A. Torres-Rua, J. G. Alfieri, F. Gao, M. C. Anderson, W. A. White, L. Song, M. M. Alsina, J. H. Prueger, M. McKee, M. Elarab, and L. G. McKee, "Evaluation of TSEB turbulent fluxes using different methods for the retrieval of soil and canopy component temperatures from UAV thermal and multispectral imagery," *Irrigation Science*, vol. 37 no. 3, pp. 389-406, September, 2019.

- [8] J. H. Kjaersgaard, R. G. Allen, M. Garcia, W. Kramber, and R. Trezza, "Automated selection of anchor pixels for Landsat based evapotranspiration estimation," *World Environmental and Water Resources Congress*. vol. 1, pp. 4400-4410, 2009.
- [9] J. M. Norman, W. P. Kustas, and K. S. Humes, "Source approach for estimating soil and vegetation energy fluxes in observations of directional radiometric surface temperature," *Agric. Forest Meteorol.*, vol. 77, no. 3-4, pp. 263-293, December, 1995.
- [10] J. Rouse, R. Haas, J. Schell, D. Deering, and J. Harlan, "Monitoring the vernal advancements and retrogradation of natural vegetation," NASA/GSFC, Final Report. pp. 1-137, November, 1974.
- [11] L. K. Singh, M. K. Jha, and M. "Pandey Framework for standardizing less data-intensive methods of reference evapotranspiration estimation," *Water Resources Management*, vol. 32 no. 13, pp. 4159-4175, July, 2018.
- [12] L. Ryan, Creating a Normalized Different Vegetation Index (NDVI) Image Using MultiSpec. New Hampshire, University of Hampshire, 1997.
- [13] M. E. Jensen, R. D. Burman, and R. G. Allen, Evapotranspiration and Irrigation Water Requirements, New York, ASCE Man, and Rep. on Engineering Pract., 1990.
- [14] M. S. Malik, J. P. Shukta, and S. "Mishra, Relationship of LST, NDBI and NDVI using Landsat-8 data in Kandaihimmat watershed, Hoshangabad, India," *Indian Journal of Geological Marine Sciences*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 25-31, January, 2019.
- [15] N. A. Komarudin, T. June, and A. Meijide, "Sensible heat flux of oil palm plantation: comparing Aerodynamic and Penman-Monteith methods," *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 54, pp. 1-8, 2017.
- [16] O. Tetens, "Uber einige meteorologische Begriffe," Z. Geophys, vol. 6, pp. 297-309, 1930.
- [17] R. G. Allen, B. Burnett, W. Kramber, J. Huntington, J. Kjaersgaard, A. Kilic, C. Kelly, and R. Trezza, "Automated calibration of the metric-landsat evapotranspiration process," *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 563-576, June, 2013.
- [18] R. G. Allen, C. Morton, B. Kamble, A. Kilic, J. Huntington, D. Thau, Gorelick, T. Erickson, R. Moore, R. Trezza, I. Ratchliffe, and C. Robinson, "A landsat-based evapotranspiration mapping tool on the google earth engine," *Papers in Natural Resources*, vol. 1482, pp. 1-9, November, 2015.
- [19] R. G. Allen, I. A. Walter, R. Elliot, T. Howell, D. Itenfisu, and M. Jensen. The ASCE Standardized Reference Evapotranspiration Equation, Idaho, Environmental and Water Resource Institute of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 2005
- [20] R. G. Allen, L. S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, "Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements," *FAO Drainage and Irrigation Paper*, no. 56, 1998.
- [21] R. G. Allen, M. Tasumi, and R. Trezza, "Satellite-based energy balance for Mapping Evapotranspiration with Internalized Calibration (METRIC)-model," *Journal of Irrigation and 310 Drainage Engineering*, vol. 133, no. 4, pp. 380-394, Agusut 2007.
- [22] R. G. Allen, M. Tasumi, R. Trezza, R. Waters, W. G. M. Bastiaanssen, Surface Energy Balance Algorithms for Land, Idaho, Idaho Implementation, 2002.
- [23] R. K. Singh and A. Irmak, :Treatment of anchor pixels in the METRIC model for improved estimation of sensible and latent heat fluxes," *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, vol. 56 no. 5, pp. 895-906, July, 2011.
- [24] S. D. Artikanur and T. June, "Suhu permukaan dan fluks bahang: komparasi antara hutan alam dan perkebunan kelapa sawit di Provinsi Jambi menggunakan Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL)," *Agromet*, vol. 49, no.3, pp. 563-576, December, 2019.
- [25] S. K. Akher and S. Chattopadhyay, "Impact of urbanization on land surface temperature a case study of Kolkata New Town," *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 71-81, January, 2017.
- [26] S. P. Arya, Introduction to Micrometeorology, Second Edition, San Diego, Academic Pr, 2001.
- [27] T. B. Kumala, T. June, C. Stiegler, and A. Knohl, "Application of the Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL) for spatial analysis of evapotranspiration in a commercial oil palm plantation in Jambi Province, Indonesia," *Sixth International Symposium on LAPAN-IPB Satellite*. vol. 11372, pp. 1-8, December, 2019.
- [28] T. June, A. Meijide, C. Stiegler, A. P. Kusuma, and A. Knohl, "The influence of surface roughness and turbulence on heat fluxes from an oil palm plantation in Jambi, Indonesia," *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. vol. 149, pp. 1-11, 2018.
- [29] T. R. Oke, Boundary Layer Climates, Second Edition, London, Taylor and Francis Group, 1987.
- [30] United States Geological Survey, "Landsat 8 (L8) Data Users handbook Version 5.0," August, 30 2021, Distributed by United States Geological Survey (USGS), https://www.usgs.gov/core-science-systems/nli/landsat/using-usgs-landsatlevel-1-data-product.
- [31] W. G. M. Bastiaanssen, "SEBAL-based sensible and latent heat fluxes in the irrigated Gediz Basin, Turkey," *Journal Hydrology*, vol. 229, pp. 87-100, July, 2000.
- [32] W. G. M. Bastiaanssen, H. Pelgrum, J. Wang, Y. Ma, J. F. Moreno, and T. Van Der Wal, "A remote sensing surface energy balance algorithm for land (SEBAL) 2. Validation," *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 213, pp. 213-229, 1998b.
- [33] W. G. M. Bastiaanssen and K. M. P. S. Bandara, "Irrigation performance indicators based on remotely sensed data: a review of literature," *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, vol. 13, pp. 291-311, November, 2001.
- [34] W. G. M. Bastiaanssen and M. G. Boss, "Irrigation performance indicators based on remotely sensed data: a review of literature," *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, vol. 13, pp. 291-311, November, 1999.
- [35] W. G. M. Bastiaanssen, R. A. Menenti, Feddes, and A. A. M. Holtslag, "A remote sensing surface energy balance algorithm for land (SEBAL) 1. Formulation," *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 212, pp. 198-212, 1998a.

- [36] W. P. Kustas and J. M. Norman, "Evaluation of soil and vegetation heat flux predictions using a simple two-source model with radiometric temperatures for partial canopy cover," *Agric. Forest Meteorol*, vol.. 94 no. 1, pp. 13-29, April, 1999.
- [37] Y. Lee and S. Kim, "The modified SEBAL for mapping daily spatial evapotranspiration of South korea using three flux tower and Terra MODIS data," *remote sensing*, vol. 8 no.12, pp. 1-20, November, 2016.
- [38] Z. Yusop, C. M. Hui, G. J. Garusu, and A. Katimon, "Estimation of evapotranspiration in oil palm catchments by shorttime period water-budget method," *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 160-174, 2008.